

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SmarterSwipe, Inc.,

Plaintiff

v.

Carlos Navarrete, et al.,

Defendants

Case No. 2:24-cv-00299-CDS-MDC

**Notice of Intent to Dismiss Under Rule  
4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
Procedure**

Plaintiff SmarterSwipe, Inc.'s amended complaint was filed on June 4, 2024. ECF No. 45. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides, in part, that:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

To date, there has been no proof of service filed as to defendant Got-Woot, Inc. Therefore notice is given that this action will be dismissed without prejudice as to Got-Woot, Inc. unless proof of service is filed with the clerk by **March 12, 2025**.

Service on the party must have taken place prior to the expiration of the time limit set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), or good cause must be shown as to why such service was not made in that period. Failure to comply with this notice will result in the dismissal of Got-Woot, Inc. without further notice.

Dated: February 12, 2025



Cristina D. Silva  
United States District Judge